

(c) the number of works not yet started (villagewise) and the reason for the same ;

(d) when those works will be taken up and completed ;

(e) the number of villages selected under this scheme in the year 1972-73, 1973-74 and 1974-75 ?

SRI N RACHAIAH (Minister for Rural Development and Social Welfare).—

(a) 1. Jalahally

2. Gabbur

3. Galag

4. Ankera

5. Masarnal

6. Deodurg

7. Ramdurg

8. Hireraikumbi

9. Hirebuddur

10. Nagargund

11. Jawaldadgi

(b) Completed

...

5

Under Progress

...

6

(c) Nil

(d) Does not arise in view of reply at (c)

(e) 1972-73

...

4

1973-74

...

1

1974-75

...

Nil.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

(i) *re: Paddy Looting incident in some Villages of Supa Taluk*

SRI B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—Madam, I call the attention of the Chief Minister to the alleged incident of looting of paddy in some villages of Supa Taluk in North Kanara District.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister).—I want to make the following statement :—

It is reported that Supa Police have not received any complaint so far regarding looting of paddy by Madhavan Nayar with 20-25 gundas. Shri Baba Rao Rane, Police Patil had not asked for Police protection. But on 25th January 1975, one Chandru Sawlo Gaonkar, a tenant of Sri Babu Rao Rane, had asked for Police Protection as he apprehended danger from land lord Babu Rao Rane and Police protection was given to him and Chandru harvested the crops. The

wife of Rane is also reported to have not approached either Supa Police or Dandeli Police with a complaint of assault. Shri Rane filed a private complaint against Chandru in the Court of J.M.F.C. at Halyal. The Court did not issue arrest warrant but only issued a search warrant to search the house of Chandru and return it by 12th March 1975. The search warrant was accordingly executed. The Sub-Inspector of Police Supa had searched the house of Chandru and seized $3\frac{1}{2}$ bags of paddy. About 50 persons gathered in the Tahsildar's Office on 7th February 1975 and discussed about land lords tenants problems with the Assistant Commissioner, Karwar. The Assistant Commissioner was not gherroed in Supa and Halyal Taluks of North Kanara District, Bageli system of tenancy is existing in the record of rights. Names of tenants are excluded. The Bageli tenants have given declaration under Land Reforms Act. Revenue authorities have made pencil entries about tenants. The land lords tried to take away their share as usual, but the tenants resented. In some villages, tenants did not allow the land lords to take their share. Madhavan Nayar, a labour leader of Supa area, did help tenants by assisting them. It is also learnt that Madhavan Nair was present at the time of harvesting by Chandru on 7th February 1975.

On 8th February 1975 Laxmibai w/o Babu Rao Rane and one Kyathani Laurance undertook Dharna in front of Tahsildar's Office, Supa for not getting their share from their tenants. On 15th February 1975 both the ladies withdrew their Dharna voluntarily. The land lords and the tenants have since agreed to settle their issues legally.

Adequate Police Bundobust had been arranged and a D.A.R. party was stationed at Supa from 11th February 1975 for 10 days. Deputy Superintendent of Police and the Superintendent of Police visited the area. There was no clash between land lords and tenants.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK—The tenants have given their declarations under the Land Reforms Act and the Revenue authorities have made pencil entries about the tenants. Whether it is a fact that after the tenants giving declarations under the Land Reform Act, whether pencil entries have been made by the Revenue authorities and that is why there was a clash between the landlords and the tenants?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS—My statement is self-explanatory. I have said same thing in my statement.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK—After exhausting all the remedies like approaching the police etc., Sri Rane has filed a case against only Sri Chandru or some other persons?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS—I have no knowledge of it.

SRI B.B. SAYANAK—It is only after the search warrant was issued the paddy was seized.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS—I am not aware whether the private case is against only Chandru or some other persons.

(ii) *Re : Fire accident at Basur Kadur Taluk.*

SRI M. MALLAPPA—I call the attention of the Minister for Revenue to the fire accident at Basur, Kadur Taluk.

SRI D.K. NAIKER (Minister for Law and Municipal Administration) Sir, on the behalf of the Revenue Minister I wish to make the following Statement.

An accidental fire took place at Basur village Kadur Taluk, Chickamagalur District on 22nd February 1975 resulting in destruction of 14 thatched house. There was no loss of human life or cattle. Necessary instructions have already been issued to the field officers concerned to afford necessary relief to the sufferers.

Deputy Commissioners of District are empowered to sanction cash relief to the extent of 600 and building materials worth Rs 400 per victim. The Deputy Commissioner, has taken necessary action in providing relief to the victims of fire accident,

SRI M. MALLAPPA—Can you tell me the actual relief to these fire victims in this case ?

SRI D. K. NAIKER—There are about 14 persons to whom relief has been granted. They are :

Sri Mallappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Hanumaanthappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Mallappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Kariappu	...	Rs. 600
Sri Jugappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Hanumanthappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Kalappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri K. F. Dasappa	...	Rs. 300
Sri Sannappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Male Jathappa	...	Rs. 600
Sri Nanjegowda	...	Rs. 600
Sri Pharama	...	Rs. 600
Sri Hanumanthappa	...	Rs. 600

SRI M. MALLAPPA—May I know the reason why building materials have not been given to these victims for the construction of houses ?